

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: WI-502 - Racine City & County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Homeless Assistance Leadership Organization, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances, Inc.

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	No	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	No
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	No	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	No

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	No
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	No
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	No
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	No
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	No
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Veterans Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	State of WI Dept of Administration	Yes	No	No

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 An on-going open invitation to the public is included on the CoC website, which is integrated with Facebook. An open invitation is extended during traditional media interviews & when writing editorials or Letters to the Editor. An invitation is made at presentations or meetings of other community groups. Personal invitations are extended through-out the year. We have a membership workgroup which identifies potential sources of members and methods of communication that we are not utilizing. Regardless of the format or forum, we state that the CoC is open to anyone who is interested.

#2 Membership info on the CoC website & Facebook allows individuals w/visual impairments to change the size of documents or utilize accessibility options in computer browsers. Documents are read to persons w/visual impairments or w/learning disabilities; TTY & sign language interpreters are utilized for persons with a hearing impairment. Documents or statements are explained further to persons who may have a cognitive impairment. Zoom meetings aid individuals with mobility concerns or who need closed captioning. In person meetings are held in handicap accessible buildings, and include technology to assist in communication during those meetings to assure participation. Personal connections may also reveal specific needs & reasonable accommodations made based on those needs.

#3 Member agencies extend invitations to persons with lived experience, staff, and those attending agency meetings to recruit new members for the CoC. Personal invitations are also used to recruit a diverse membership. Personal invitations were made by leadership or other members of agencies to organizations such as the LGBT Center, Hispanic Roundtable, Black Pastors Association, NAACP, Black Nurses Association, Aging and Disability Center. Diversity (presenters & topics) was also included in presentations made to the CoC: South East Wisconsin LGBT Center, Racine/Kenosha Black Nurses Assn. understanding cultural concerns of COVID-19, Fair Housing, and Racine City Financial Empowerment Center. Presentations have opened networking opportunities between providers not previously connected with the CoC or individual CoC agencies.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and	
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Opinions are solicited via e-mail, telephone, workgroups, special meetings/forums/focus groups, electronic polls & surveys, & social media. We have also requested information and input from our HUD TA provider on a variety of issues impacting the homeless in our community. Relationships have been built and developed which permit open dialogue with advocates, service organizations, departments within the State of WI, Racine County, City of Racine, persons w/lived experience, former CoC leadership, experts in organizations at the local, state, and national level, attendance at conferences and training. Opinions & feedback are considered at CoC meetings, including committees and workgroups when discussing policies, procedures, various grant applications, including preparation for the CoC NOFO, and strategy.

#2 Information is presented in verbal & written format - including hand-outs, infographics, electronic presentations, testimony, question & answer. Reasonable accommodations are made to effectively communicate information to persons with hearing, visual, or cognitive impairment. A CoC e-mail list, CoC website, and CoC Facebook page are used to communicate information. Individuals serve on various committees & workgroups where information is communicated and discussed. Joint meetings with community groups provide additional communication. Monthly (or more often) meetings, which include representation from State of WI departments, HUD Field Office, and the 4 Federally recognized Wisconsin CoC provide a forum to share information solicited from members and the public regarding various concerns, policies, and overall statewide strategy.

#3 Our CoC meeting format provides an open discussion time, as well as presentations from agencies providing homelessness and mainstream services. There is time for open discussion among presenters and members, as well as information obtained at other meetings and through other sources such as comments or questions sent to the CoC website. Information that obtained that is specific to a committee or workgroup is discussed further when reviewing and considering policies, procedures, and strategy. Knowledge gained at conferences and trainings is also shared at meetings and incorporated into policies, procedures, and strategy.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Statements were made in communications regarding the NOFO that the process is not limited to renewals, and we encourage new project applications. The NOFO was discussed at nearly all CoC meetings, and in the months leading up to the anticipated release, it was discussed more frequently. Those present represent nonprofits, government entities, & non-funded agencies. Renewal project agencies were notified by e-mail on 8/1/22 of the NOFO release. A public announcement was posted on the CoC Website (8/1/220), E-mail notification was sent to the CoC e-mail list (8/1/2022). Staff from the Collaborative Applicant, & CoC Director contacted agencies not receiving CoC program funding, encouraging them to submit applications (August 2022). A number of health care providers were contacted, as well as housing providers, to encourage applications with partner homeless agencies. (July, August 2022)

#2. A timeline was posted on the CoC website which included the process for submitting a renewal or new application, as well as how to obtain technical assistance and who to contact with questions.

#3. A timeline was posted on the CoC website, along with additional documents, explaining the rating, ranking, and project selection process.

#4. Notification at meetings was verbal. Meeting minutes are in writing and sent via e-mail. Information on the CoC website can be adjusted by the user if there is a visual impairment. Technical assistance is also available if further assistance or accommodation is needed.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
 - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
 - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Nonexistent
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.	Services related to working with Human Trafficking Victims & Survivors	Yes
-----	--	-----

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1. The Racine CoC is included in two ESG jurisdictions - City of Racine & State of Wisconsin. City and State staff attend our CoC meetings where there is discussion about overall planning. State funding is allocated between all local CoCs in the State of WI. CoC representatives participated in the funding formula discussion and allocation plan for the State. CoC members attend monthly ESG meetings w/State staff where input on topics including planning & allocation is encouraged. CoC members have discussions with City staff at least quarterly that involve planning and allocation. The CoC Director meets with State ESG staff, the Director of the State Interagency Council on Homelessness, & the other 3 Federally recognized WI CoCs monthly. Both jurisdictions met with CoC representatives to revise the application for funding. Both use a collaborative application format, & both allow the CoC to determine the allocation for each project based on CoC identified needs and priorities.

#2 CoC representatives participate in City and State project monitoring with staff from each jurisdiction. The agency who is the Lead Agency for the collaborative application for both jurisdictions may perform independent program & financial monitoring of projects. Copies of those reports, which include both ESG compliance and performance, are provided to the ESG jurisdiction. The CoC develops performance criteria with each of the jurisdictions for the contract period, in addition to other CoC performance requirements. The CoC provides monthly CAPER data to the State, and coordinates submittal for each jurisdiction with individual projects. Monthly HIC data, for all projects including CoC and City ESG, is submitted monthly to the State and is used for evaluation and performance decisions by the State, City, and CoC.

#3 PIT and HIC data are collected monthly, and submitted to the State. PIT and HIC data is submitted to the City of Racine in January, as well as July if a July PIT street count takes place.

#4 Written comments were provided to each jurisdiction. CAPER, PIT, & HIC data were provided. Additional CoC project data was provided related to housing. The CoC also responded to specific questions from both jurisdictions, and provided additional data to assist the Con Plan and Annual Action Plans.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Racine CoC has a MOU with Acelero Learning Wisconsin, Inc., the Head Start and Early Head Start provider within Racine County. It is currently renewed annually. The MOU specifies a referral and prioritization process for families with a child under the age of 5 (on Sept. 1). Referrals from CoC agencies will be prioritized, and these applications will receive additional points based on homeless status. The intent of the MOU is to provide access to Head Start and Early Head Start programs to those children who may fall further behind because they are experiencing homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The general CoC policy is that ESG & CoC funded agencies must have a policy for any topic requiring a policy by their CoC or ESG contract, or required by CoC or ESG regulation or rule. At a minimum a CoC agency must provide to the CoC members the name of the person at their agency who is responsible for McKinney-Vento compliance, the person responsible for notifying families of their rights, and the person responsible for coordinating with the school liaison. The liaison for the largest school district in Racine County is a member of the CoC Leadership Council (Board), and provides guidance to agencies on McKinney-Vento, as well as providing materials for families that are in English and Spanish.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Local DV, SA, dating violence, stalking and victim services providers, advocates, organizations, as well as local and state coalitions, participate in developing and updating CoC-wide policies applicable to standards, coordinated entry (CE), data collection and analysis, identification of gaps, access to housing and access to services. We also collaborate with the State of WI Department of Justice, the Department of Children and Families, and the Department of Health Services on policy and training related to issues impacting survivors. Review of policies is on-going and are revised as needed.

#2 Our CoC relies heavily on the input of the aforementioned entities and their representatives who participate in CoC committees and workgroups including HMIS, coordinated entry, system performance, standards, resource development, project rating and ranking, housing, services, and CoC and ESG training. These entities also have representation on our Leadership Council (Board), as well as at our general CoC membership meetings. We require all agencies to have staff who have completed trauma-informed training through a qualified source such as statewide coalitions, local training resources, and online training from sources such as the Corporation of Supportive Housing. We rely on the entities providing survivor services to provide us with feedback and expertise as far as appropriate training and resources.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Our DV shelter staff coordinate training for DV staff and non-DV provider staff within our CoC. Staff from the DV shelter provide an annual DV/SA awareness and safety planning training, as well as trauma informed care training. They also inform non-DV provider staff of training that is available each month from End Abuse Wisconsin and other training resources. A monthly Homeless Forum coordinated by the State of WI Department of Health Services also include topics relevant to DV/SA that all providers are invited to attend. The CoC Director coordinates with the State of WI Dept of Health Services to have agency staff added to the forum notifications.

#2 Staff from the CE provider are included in the training stated in response #1. The CE provider has adopted a number of safety protocols, such as going to the shelter to do intake and assessment for CE, rather than requiring them to go to an offsite location. The CE provider staff have also had advanced training in protecting PII and other sensitive information that may impact safety.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and	
	2. how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 DV providers generate de-identified aggregated data reports from Osnum, a comparable HMIS database.

#2 Osnum generates CAPER and APR data in the same format as our HMIS system. The APR and CAPER are required to be submitted annually. Additionally, CAPER reports are run and reviewed monthly. APR reports may be reviewed more than annually. Data reports are provided by a DV shelter and DV permanent housing provider. The number of individuals experiencing and fleeing domestic violence is collected, as well as general demographic data. In addition to comparable HMIS data, the type of victimization can be collected in Osnum, and reports generated for more detailed analysis and identification of needs. The data from these reports are supplemented by anecdotal, surveys, and other sources as needed to identify needs and solutions.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
	1. the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
	2. the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Information is communicated by posting the Emergency Transfer Plan on provider websites and in prominent locations on provider premises. Premises includes public areas of clustered unit buildings and offices where project staff meet with clients in scattered-site programs. The procedure is incorporated within the Emergency Transfer Plan document.

#2 Case managers communicate the process to each client. Case managers also assist participants with the completion of transfer forms.

** **

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC utilizes the same Coordinated Entry (CE) assessment and prioritization list for all populations to ensure equal access to housing opportunities in the CoC. CE staff conduct all CE assessments for our survivor population. When a client enters a survivor specific shelter, the staff at the shelter offer the client the option to schedule an appt with CE staff and be added to the CE prioritization list. By integrating survivors into the CE prioritization list, they are prioritized for and receive the same housing opportunities and services as all other populations. In instances where housing is a survivor-only project, those projects are required to offer the same services as non-survivor projects. Review of referrals to mainstream benefits and other services are reviewed for compliance as part of the project monitoring process, as well as the CE monitoring process.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:

1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Safety protocols are incorporated into our CE policy and procedure standards. Coordinated Entry (CE) staff provide CE services to the entire CoC. They are employed by an agency that is separate from staff employed by various survivor and non-survivor projects. CE staff have received a briefing on safety protocols from staff employed by the survivor projects. They have also attended other trainings relative to survivors, including trauma informed care. CE staff have also received high level HMIS policy and procedure training related to protection of PII and security. An example of a safety protocol is that CE intake and assessment activities take place at the survivor shelter and not at outside locations, CE staff go to where the survivor is located to minimize the chance of an unforeseen encounter with an abuser or trigger situation.

#2 Planning protocols are incorporated into our CE policy and procedure standards. While it is the responsibility of the survivor provider staff to assist a participant with safety planning, CE staff are knowledgeable and can answer questions and assist with supplemental safety planning if needed. CE and survivor provider staff work together to plan overall CE protocols for survivors that will address safety in all aspects of the CE and re-housing process.

#3 Confidentiality protocols are incorporated into our CE policy and procedure standards. Staff at all agencies receive confidentiality training. Information is not shared unless there is a Release of Information, and only to the extent necessary for the service being provided. CE staff are employed by the CoC HMIS Lead Agency and have received high level training regarding the proper use of HMIS data, protection of PII, confidentiality, and security.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	No
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	No
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	No

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 - Historically, the CoC updates policies, as necessary and if appropriate, based on stakeholder feedback. Currently, the policy is for each agency to have a policy, and a CoC-wide policy has not yet been adopted.

#2 - CoC members are notified of HUD and other entities providing training on this topic. The CoC has access to an attorney who will review policies if requested. The CoC provides templates as needed. The CoC would also seek assistance from our HUD TA provider if necessary.

#3 - For any noncompliance issue, client/participant recourse includes requesting the matter be reviewed by the CoC. Allegations found to have merit would be considered when evaluating agency compliance. Project rejections after CE referral are reviewed to determine the reason for rejection. Project terminations may be reviewed randomly for termination reasons to identify possible discrimination.

#4 - The CoC Director would have a discussion with the agency to attempt to resolve the situation, and technical assistance would be offered as preliminary steps for any non-compliance. A referral to the Leadership Council (Board) by the CoC Director would occur for serious or ongoing non-compliance issues. The Leadership Council could recommend the continuation of the agency operating the project or refer to another entity, including HUD, for further investigation.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.
-------	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of Racine County, Inc.	2%	Yes-HCV	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.
--------	---

NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 We have 1 PHA in our jurisdiction for HCV. The Housing Authority of Racine County has adopted a homeless preference and it participates in our coordinated entry system. (Because the PHA in our jurisdiction does not administer EHV we are able to work with a statewide PHA for EHV only. Because EHV are homeless vouchers, they do have a homeless preference.)

#2 - Not applicable.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Foster Youth to Independence (FYI)	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	---	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	---	-----

If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

PHA
Wisconsin Housing...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Wisconsin Housing & Economic Development Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	5
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	5
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 All projects must participate in coordinated entry. Rejections after referral are reviewed to determine the reason for rejection to confirm that the reason is not related to Housing First non-compliance. The CoC reviews exit information on project APRs to identify exit destinations to identify those that may indicate non-compliance with Housing First. Program files are also reviewed for any documents that would indicate there may be a non-compliance concern. Agency policies, including termination, are reviewed for discrepancies with Housing First.

#2 Exit destinations to other PH and 6 months or longer are all positive performance factors that will contribute to points being given at time of competition. Exit destinations to other than PH and exits prior to 5 months in the program are red flags for review whether during the competition or other times through-out the year. Rejections after coordinated entry referral that are found by the CoC to be for reasons that would be non-compliant with Housing First are considered negative factors that are taken into consideration as far overall project evaluation and performance. Repeated and on-going rejections would result in sanctions that may include a project not being selected to submit a renewal application.

#3 Coordinated entry referrals that result in a rejection are reviewed for reason of rejection. APRs, other than the APR required to be submitted in SAGE, are run at various time intervals to review exit destinations. Project files are randomly reviewed for agreements or other documents that would indicate non-compliance with Housing First. Agency policies & procedures & forms are reviewed to identify those that are not consistent with Housing First.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;	
	2. whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;	
	3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	
	4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Teams are scheduled to do street outreach. Specialized teams go out in between scheduled times if needed. During extreme weather conditions (10 degrees or less) teams go out every night until the temperature is warmer. The teams work exclusively at night providing basic assistance (food, water, blankets, etc.), information, shelter, & service connections. Coordinated entry assessments are attempted to add persons to the prioritization list. Our street outreach provider has a hotline and website with contact information & forms. CoC member agencies and others likely to have contact with unsheltered persons experiencing homelessness are provided with contact information. Multi-cultural, multi-ethnic, multi-gender teams are utilized to enhance engagement.

#2 The CoC street outreach (SO) covers the entire geographic area of Racine County.

#3 There are 21 “outreach zones,” each zone is covered by street outreach a minimum of 4 times per year. HOPES Center conducts street outreach 3-5 times per week in the zones where more than 98% contacts occur. Street outreach has been uninterrupted throughout the COVID-19 pandemic by using personal protective equipment, smaller teams, & assuring that all team members are up to date with COVID vaccinations.

#4 Initially, most persons encountered during SO do not want to go to shelter. Building teams that are multi-racial, multi-ethnic, multi-gender is important to building trust. Teams are trained to use person centered & trauma informed approaches when engaging with, & explaining available services. An SO hotline receives self-reports & 3rd party reports of unsheltered persons from agencies, community members & local businesses. The team responds to all calls & has access to translation services through other agencies if needed. SO contacts trust and often refer other unsheltered persons to the SO team. SO is primarily a face-to-face activity in which housing and supportive services are explained and offered to everyone determined to be unsheltered. Informational pamphlets on services are provided in English but can be specially adapted based on individual needs & provided on a subsequent SO encounter. Coordinated entry assessments are done where they find the individual, and the team attempts to quickly connect unsheltered persons with shelter and housing.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	No
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	No
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	No
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	No

5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
----	------------------------------	--

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.I.	

	2021	2022
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	76	121

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Veteran Resources	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 The CoC emails updated material to membership & updates at monthly virtual meetings. Agencies maintain flyers & brochures to hand out to clients. Agency partnerships with County Extension & Racine County Human Services, & Workforce Development Center provide case managers with recent information. The Racine County Resource Navigator meets with participants at various providers & facilitates quarterly meetings for project staff. The CoC encourages organizations to sign up for e-mail notifications provided by State TANF organizations. Legal Action, & Racine County Here to Help staff (triage & referral) provide resource updates at CoC membership meetings.

#2 Case managers coordinate onsite assistance for open enrollment to help clients enroll in all forms of health coverage. Case managers work closely with TANF providers to confirm client eligibility & enroll clients in health coverage. Health Care Network assists those without coverage receive health services. The CoC collaborates with the State of Wisconsin Department of Health Services to host a monthly homelessness forum which addresses updates on COVID-19 & other health concerns such as mental health, physical disabilities, and substance abuse. The CoC Director facilitated connecting provider staff, Ascension Hospital, and the City of Racine Health Department to administer onsite COVID vaccines. The CoC facilitated connection between the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee/Parkside Nurses Consortium for on-site evaluation & development of health care protocols in shelters and for formerly homeless persons in CoC projects, including a project between the largest CoC shelter and National Association for Mental Illness (NAMI).

#3 Case managers connect participants with State of WI-funded benefit specialists who have expertise in the utilization of benefits. PATH and SOAR providers work to ensure that people with serious mental illness can access benefits. A legal services provider administers a SOAR program, as well as explains benefits to individuals, file appeals on clients' behalf, & represents them at administrative SSI & SSDI hearings. Announcements regarding SAMHSA SOAR certification training are regularly sent to project staff.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC agencies have traditionally allocated funding for non-congregate shelter, primarily motel "vouchers". Most have been funded by ESG or private donations or foundations. They were primarily used for temporary shelter overflow, medical conditions including contagious disease, or DV situations where shelter was inappropriate or unavailable. COVID created a need for more non-congregate space and more funding to access it. Motels continue to be the primary location for non-congregate shelter. Some shelter funding was able to be diverted temporarily to cover motel costs, but ESG-CV, EFSP, private foundations, and FEMA funds accessed through local health departments were the primary sources of funding for non-congregate shelter. Attempts were made to locate and secure space in vacant buildings that might be immediately utilized as non-congregate space or easily converted to non-congregate space. However, this option was not feasible. One provider is exploring converting unused space in its building into non-congregate units that would also decrease the number of people in the congregate area of the shelter. Creating more housing has been an on-going strategy. It has the dual purpose of reducing numbers in shelter and achieving the goal of housing individuals. However, COVID and now inflation are having a negative impact on the availability of affordable, habitable housing and the ability to purchase properties that might be suitable for rehabilitating into housing units.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:		
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 CoC-wide, our policies and procedures (P&P) have been developed locally in consultation with the City of Racine Public Health Department, Central Racine County Health Department, the UW-Parkside clinical nursing students, and the Racine/Kenosha Wisconsin Chapter of the National Black Nurses Association. P&Ps are designed to address large-scale outbreaks and tailored within each project, such as shelters, PSH, and RRH, to address the level of proximity to clients and the risk of exposure to infected individuals. Options are available for projects to adjust their policies to fit the facility constraint and the number of clients in shelter at any given time.

#2. CoC shelters have worked with the City of Racine and Racine County to secure funding to create a safer environment within congregate settings, such as adapting living spaces to accommodate the need to isolate exposed individuals, installing hand sanitizer stations, providing extra cleaning supplies for deep cleaning and disinfecting areas regularly. Shelters worked with city and county public health departments and the local hospital to provide educational materials on infectious diseases and distribute COVID and influenza injections to clients and staff who wanted to receive them. CoC director partnered with the FEMA group statewide to provide pallets of hand sanitizers and N-95 masks for staff and clients.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 The Racine CoC shares information locally and statewide through networking across the state and locally. The CoC Director meets monthly with the FEMA Emergency Support Function #6 (ESF6) and is a member of the WI Interagency Council on Homelessness (WI-HICH). WI HICH meets quarterly as a Council and additionally as workgroups that include state health officials, DHS staff, and local homelessness service providers. These groups provide information updates, resource sharing, and guidance for policy updates related to COVID-19 and other contagious diseases such as influenza. The groups continue to meet and share information about best practices with health measures in congregate shelters, street outreach contacts, PSH, and RRH projects. We participate with the 3 other CoCs in Wisconsin, DHS, and DOA to host a monthly homelessness forum to share information on the latest health measures for homelessness service providers. We were a member of the Vaccinate Racine DHS Grant. We worked to connect homelessness service providers, program participants, and individuals residing out on the street with access to COVID vaccines.

#2 In addition to attending statewide and local meetings, local providers are encouraged to subscribe to statewide and local e-lists to receive up-to-date information regarding outbreaks, prevention, and best practices to use within their project sites. Information is shared with street outreach (SO), shelter, and housing providers through our CoC membership meetings and presentations. For example, our local Free Clinic presented information on how program participants can receive preventative care such as immunizations and boosters for COVID and influenza. The Racine/Kenosha WI Chapter of the Natl Black Student Nurses Assoc. presented information on how homelessness service providers could connect with them to increase the outreach to individuals who are at high risk of contracting and spreading COVID and receive their vaccines. We also provided providers opportunities to meet with state health officials to learn how to become a site to administer COVID injections. receive supplies such as testing kits, sanitizers, and N-95 masks.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC’s geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	

	3. is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.
--	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1. Coordinated entry for the Racine CoC covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area. All CoC-funded organizations are required, through MOUs, to use Coordinated Entry (CE).

#2 We utilize HMIS data and the VI-SPDAT to gather client information from all individuals accessing emergency homeless projects, whether through street outreach (SO) or through a shelter. We adopted additional COVID assessment questions which are part of the assessment currently.

#3 All CoC-funded projects are required by MOU to use Coordinated entry, send Case Managers (CM) twice a month to Case Staffing meetings and attend HMIS committee meetings bi-monthly. CMs and the HMIS Coordinator meet twice a month for case staffing. CMs discuss feedback from clients and address any concerns related to the CE process. HMIS Committee meets bi-monthly and discusses any concerns expressed by CM at Case Staffing meetings. Racine CoC partners with NAMI, the McKinney-Vento liaison of the largest school district, the Manager of Housing & Community Development, the City of Racine Fair Housing, Neighborhood Services Division, and while they do not directly utilize CE, they do provide feedback on how specific questions may be interpreted by subpopulations. This was particularly helpful with restructuring our priorities to include elements that sought to eliminate racial bias, economic biases, and household burdens directly and indirectly caused by COVID. Organizations such as our youth shelter and our veteran's projects regularly participate in reviewing the coordinated entry process. We reviewed with our youth providers in early 2021 and veteran providers in early 2022.

	1D-9a. Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
	1. reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
	2. prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
	3. ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
	4. takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Unsheltered persons are less likely to seek out shelter or services. The CoC street outreach provider conducts CE assessments on the street where persons are located. The street outreach provider also has a 24 hour phone number that can be accessed, as well as forms on their website. Survivors may also be reluctant to seek out assistance from homeless providers. They will often seek services only at shelters, other providers, & church organizations. Staff from our CE system will go to those locations to do assessments rather than requiring them to go to a shelter or service provider for coordinated entry.

#2. Our CoC utilizes the VI-SPDAT, as well as the VI-SPDAT for youth, & VI-SPDAT for families as its primary standardized assessment tool. The CoC adopted the HUD prioritization found in Notice CPD 16-11, (7/25/16).. At the beginning of COVID, our CE workgroup adopted an additional vulnerability assessment to assure that the most vulnerable individuals are prioritized for housing opportunities. Additional factors have been programmed into a prioritization tool include age, with point values increasing at 10 year intervals for persons 60 & over, underlying chronic health conditions identified by the CDC as increased risk factors, occupations presenting an increased risk due to close proximity between workers, & barriers which have historically resulted in difficulty in locating and maintaining housing: criminal history, history of evictions, & large families needing 3 or more bedrooms.

#3. Our CoC policy requires that we must add someone to the CE list within 30 days unless they request not to be added to it. In addition to the assistance of their general case manager, the largest shelter in our CoC employs a Housing Navigator who works with landlords to locate & secure housing for persons on the CE list. The Housing Navigator assists persons in all agencies, not just the employing agency. Our CoC holds CE case staffings twice per month where the status of housing for individuals on the CE list is discussed to assure that the process is moving forward as quickly as possible.

#4. Clients may remain on the CE prioritization list even if they refuse to answer questions on the assessment. CE staff go to the client to administer assessments, & don't require them to go to a different location. To assure all persons have the same access to services, we use the same assessment and prioritization list for everyone, including survivors.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	08/27/2019

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 The Racine COC's most recent analysis took place over the last year, & will be presented to the CoC membership in November 2022. Our initial review of racial disparity in homelessness and services for people experiencing homelessness was completed in August 2019.. We utilized the COC Analysis Tool: Race and Equity to explore racial disparity in homelessness, race, and ethnicity in Racine. The National Alliance to End Homelessness Racial Disparities Tool was used to examine racial disparity in providing services for people experiencing homelessness. Although the analysis was conducted in 2018, CoC staff, CE Staff, and Street Outreach Director have continued to understand addressing racial inequities by participating in HUD-sponsored webinars related to racial equity and diversity.

#2 Although there do not appear to be disparities in attaining positive outcomes from services received, there is a disproportionate amount of black and African American households, especially families, entering homelessness. Our primary hypotheses from strategic planning sessions in 2018 include a) fewer available resources for African American families in poverty that are sufficient to keep them from becoming homeless and b) the effect of racial discrimination leading to a higher rate of eviction. A lower prevalence of Hispanic households accessing homeless services may be due to a) a strong informal social network that mitigates homelessness and b) a distrust of systems that may be perceived to have a potential negative impact on the household or extended household in relation to immigration status.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	No
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	No
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes

11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
Other:(limit 500 characters)		
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.		

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The COC has identified strategies to reduce racial disparities in its homeless system:

Coordinated Entry: Coordinated entry creates a more neutral approach to the receipt of services, & is less likely to result in disparities when prioritizing persons for housing.

Homelessness Prevention Initiative: As part of its strategic planning, evictions were identified as a major problem to be addressed within the area covered by the COC, which has an eviction rate higher than the national rate and the highest rate in the State of Wisconsin. Given the hypothesis of racial disparity in evictions, efforts to prevent eviction and homelessness because of eviction and strengthen fair housing could decrease racial disparity among those entering the homeless system.

Street Outreach: In 2019, native Spanish-speaking street outreach workers were added to street outreach teams to increase both linguistic and cultural competency of outreach efforts to identify and assist people from the Hispanic population who may be homeless. Teams are now multi-racial, multi-ethnic, & multi-gender in an effort to provide a more neutral approach & more engagement with those more likely to be marginalized.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.		

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

We use APRs and CAPERs to identify disparities within projects. We look at the percentage of people admitted into shelters and CoC projects to examine how sheltered and unsheltered data compare. CoC-wide analysis occurs using System Performance data examining comparisons over time. The analyses at both project and CoC levels allow us the ability to identify patterns and address the issues. System Performance Committee meets quarterly, and results are discussed at HMIS and CE case management meetings. We have utilized these data to inform our equity lens when creating our Move-on strategy for PSH and updating our PSH COVID pre-screening forms.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC Director, Project Directors, and Case Managers collaborate on connecting with individuals with lived experience and provide one-on-one invitations to CoC participation opportunities. We have had success at the CoC committee level, obtaining participation on the rating and ranking committee, as well as on our Leadership Council (Board) (LC/B). Still, we recognize there is always room for improvement. We found that we did not have as much success when we did passive outreach through social media or even blanked announcements at membership meetings. We also discovered, through feedback, that individuals with lived experience prefer the one-on-one approach as many are not confident that they are “knowledgeable” of what committee or work group participation entails. We are working to develop mentoring-focused recruitment of individuals with lived experience to increase participation not only on CoC level opportunities but within our partner agencies.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	2	1
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	2	1
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	2	1
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	2	1
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC’s local competition rating factors.	1	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Agencies are encouraged to hire qualified individuals with lived experience in their programs. Several agencies have eliminated "waiting periods" for former clients of their programs being employed in their programs, or decreased the "waiting period". Our largest shelter has several case managers and other staff with lived experience. In addition to seminars, courses, & training offered by Racine County Workforce Development, the CoC has a designated provider that offers specific training to persons with lived experience. Many providers offer these services on site. All providers offer referral and enrollment assistance in GED programs, as well as enrollment assistance with post-secondary education. Internships and on the job training opportunities are also available in some circumstances.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and	
	2. the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Feedback is gathered by including those with lived experience on CoC member agency decision making committees/Boards, CoC Leadership Council (Board), CoC committees/workgroups, surveys, focus groups, exit & follow-up interviews. A minimum of 1 person must be included on the CoC Leadership Council (Board), as well as on Boards/decision making committees of agencies receiving CoC & ESG funding.

#2 Discussion between CoC Leadership & individual agencies takes place for issues that specific to a particular agency. Feedback, positive & negative, is discussed at CoC meetings, including specific committees/workgroups. Feedback has been used to supplemental data analysis, as well as for planning, drafting standards, policies & procedures, & in social media.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Zoning and land use policies are regularly reviewed, including in the last year, to identify those which may have a detrimental impact on the development of affordable housing in the City of Racine & Racine County. Due to previous efforts in this regard, the primary objective of review at this time is to assure that barriers that have been removed are not reinstated or that there are no barriers. A CoC member agency which is also an affordable housing developer, has been active in discussions with local governmental officials in the discussion of the usage of Tax Incremental Funding (TIF). Discussions were had with City of Racine City Development staff regarding conditional zoning in several locations identified by a provider proposing a facility that would include some affordable housing units. A number of CoC member agencies wrote letters in support of this project.

CoC members collaborated with others in the State to review and provide input to the policies of the state agency administering Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC). A letter of support to a state agency for an application for funding was provided to at least 1 affordable housing program.

#2 A review and analysis of local regulations is performed on a regular basis. At the City of Racine level, we engaged in regular discussion with our City Development staff on issues, including regulatory barriers, that may be negatively impacting housing development. The CoC reviews both the City and State Consolidated Plan & provided comments. Additionally, the CoC reviewed and provided feedback regarding the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice for the City of Racine.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/09/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	--	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	120
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	6
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	Tie

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	
--------	---	--

- Describe in the field below:
- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing; |
| 2. | how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing; |
| 3. | how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and |
| 4. | considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Data is collected in HMIS. Currently, there aren't any PH projects utilizing a comparable data base. An APR was run for each project using 5/1/2020 - 4/30/2022 so data for the same time frame was being reviewed. Using responses to questions that had been previously identified for the rating & ranking tool, the data was entered into a spreadsheet & scored based on a previously determined scoring range.

#2 Data is collected & entered into the coordinated entry (CE) project maintained in HMIS. The CE assessment is built into our HMIS system, and includes data fields that calculate the timeframe for someone to be housed. The CE staffing workgroup reviews data twice per month to identify any patterns, areas of concern & solutions regarding the length of time to become housed. At the current time this is not a rating & ranking criteria for project selection.

#3 The CE prioritization was revised during COVID to address specific COVID related severity of needs & vulnerabilities, in addition to criteria in the VI-SPDAT. The rating & ranking tool includes a section addressing more severe needs, and projects are scored based on data from the APR that was run.

#4 The rating & ranking tool awards additional points for projects serving the hardest to serve populations to compensate for points that may be lost due to poor performance in other areas due to the population being served. The overall rating & ranking process also allows the final prioritization to be adjusted to assure a needed project is not reduced or eliminated based on performance due to having a harder to serve population compared to projects with easier to serve populations.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
Describe in the field below:		
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 CoC Leadership Council (Board) (LC/B) has representation from the BIPOC community. The LC/B reviewed & approved the applications for new projects and the rating and ranking scoring tool.

#2 Members of the Hispanic and Black communities reviewed the rating and ranking tool and the new project application. The FY 21-22 decision was to expand questions to include a request from agencies for a breakdown of board membership and agency staff as they reflect the CoC geographic area served. During this funding cycle, there was a request to review the questions on the rating and ranking scoring tool in depth going forward in 2023. This group will meet in November 2022 to begin their review process of the scoring tool to ensure changes can be effective for the next CoC funding cycle.

#3 There has been a substantial turnover in the membership of the Rating and Ranking work group. Those with lived experience, and the BIPOC & LGBTQ+ community left the committee due to employment changes outside the CoC geographic area. This has provided us with a challenge and an opportunity to expand our outreach for BIPOC, LGBTQ+, & lived experience by Spring 2023 and before the next CoC HUD NOFO.

#4 Most of our projects are renewal projects that are well known throughout the Racine CoC area, the BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and individuals with lived experience have expressed an interest in reviewing the rating & ranking scoring tool to better represent the performance of projects as they serve those who are over-represented within our CoC homeless population. This group has committed to meeting in November 2022 to begin the process of identifying elements that will provide a clear analysis of how services are provided to those over-represented in our area.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 Our CoC permits voluntary re-allocation of renewal funds by any agency for any of its projects as long as the project is still viable. An e-mail is sent to all renewal projects asking if there are any voluntary re-allocations at the start of the competition. Rating & ranking, as well as the Leadership Council during its final project approval, can involuntarily reduce funding if a project does not meet the threshold score. As part of the final prioritization, rating & ranking, as well as the Leadership Council, can determine a project should not be reallocated or eliminated based on need within the CoC. The project would be ranked at the bottom & would have conditions for improving performance placed on it.

#2 One project was identified as not meeting the threshold score.

#3 The CoC did not reallocate any funding for low performance or less needed projects this year.

#4 The project identified as not meeting the threshold score, is a rapid rehousing project serving youth. It was determined that the project should not be eliminated due to serving youth. All other projects met the threshold scoring.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/15/2022

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/15/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/26/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC’s website or partner’s website.	09/28/2022
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus
--	--	----------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD’s comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 The CoC requires DV housing & services providers to use Osnium, which is the system that was selected to be used through-out the State of WI by DV providers. DV provider staff participate in the CoC HMIS Committee. Reports are reviewed to assure that the same HMIS elements are being collected in Osnium.

#2 Our CoC is compliant with 2022 HMIS Data Standards. The 4 Federally recognized CoCs in the State of WI utilize the same HMIS, Bitfocus, and all have the same HMIS Lead Agency - Institute for Community Alliances (ICA). All updates to the system are overseen by ICA. The staff at ICA attend Data Standards training and oversee changes to any statewide manuals to assure that the manual & system is compliant.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	135	32	103	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	71	31	40	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	121	0	121	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	140	13	140	110.24%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A - All coverage is 85% or more.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/26/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:

1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 SAFE Haven of Racine operates both a youth shelter & rapid rehousing projects for youth. Agency staff were actively involved in planning the 2022 PIT count as part of the PIT workgroup. SAFE Haven staff participated in the unsheltered street count.

#2 Although SAFE Haven of Racine, which operates a youth shelter & youth rapid rehousing project, was involved during the PIT count planning & street count, no homeless youth were available to be involved in the street count this year.

#3 SAFE Haven of Racine, which operates a youth shelter and rapid rehousing projects for youth, and HOPES Center of Racine, which operates a street outreach project collaborated to select areas where youth were likely to be identified. On the night of the unsheltered street count, teams visited all locations where youth have been identified during street outreach through out the year, or PIT counts over the last 5 years.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC’s PIT count results; or	
	4. state “Not Applicable” if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
	2. describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 The CoC held a special meeting of the HMIS Committee. We used CDC COVID risk factors to identify COVID priorities & factors which are exacerbated by COVID: direct & indirect loss of income due to COVID, criminal history, domestic violence, mental illness, substance abuse, household size (8 people or more), & eviction history. Sys Perform Measures data confirmed risk factors & Eviction Lab data identified at-risk census tracts which were included in priority for prevention services.

#2 CoC uses legal representation & rent assistance as intervention & diversion strategies for those at risk or imminent risk of homelessness due to potential eviction or from unexpected financial burdens esp. during COVID. Racine Cnty DHS created Here to Help (H2H) program like 211. Clients are contacted to check for additional risks during COVID. H2H works w/CoC agencies to prescreen prevention calls, fund utility bills, back rent, & mainstream services such as food, health, and transportation. Once eviction moratorium ended, H2H worked with landlords & Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency which runs the WI Emerg Rental prog. Case managers help new clients w/income find solutions, e.g., security deposits & housing navigation to work with landlords & prevent shelter stays over 30 days. Relationships with prison re-entry (Racine Vocational Ministries) & DV (Women's Resource Center) programs provide education & services, and established protocols with addiction and mental health providers (NAMI) that support long-term recovery have also proven to be helpful. Financial education is coordinated with Wisconsin Women's Business Initiative Corporation & Rent Smart & City of Racine Financial Empowerment Program

#3 The CoC Prevention Initiative Workgroup

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. HMIS data reports are reviewed monthly by either a CoC committee or individual agencies to examine returns to homelessness & identify ways to reduce LOTH. System Performance Committee (SPC) runs reports to examine whether LOTH is due to specific inequities or policies. SPC reports findings to the Leadership Council and HMIS committee for review and action.
2. Intensive case management, request transfer to other more appropriate programs if necessary, identification of individuals and families for section 8 vouchers, EHV's, & other subsidized programs, moving on strategies that include supportive services for those at risk. Additionally, joint case management with providers of income support services to foster housing stability & increase connections to mainstream resources.
3. System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroups.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC’s Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

#1 All projects are required to use Coordinated Entry (CE) & Housing First (HF). HF emphasizes getting people into housing quickly regardless of potential barriers & providing support in housing. Early identification & intervention for those with mental health or addiction barriers is also key to retaining housing. Case Mngrs (CM) provide links to mental health services and other community resources for clients to recognize community services beyond the shelter and CM. As people enter RRH, we have them sign up for all subsidized housing projects, (e.g., Housing Choice vouchers) to increase their chances of obtaining permanent housing as they await placement into an RRH unit. For FY21, we are using Emergency Housing Vouchers for RRH & PSH clients using our Move On approach. CM meetings address issues & areas such as financial literacy & goal setting. Our Housing Navigator (HN) works with clients to reduce the time needed to locate housing. For 2021 we have prioritized CoC & ESG funded RRH & PSH clients, using a Move-on assessment for placement into Emerg Housing Vouchers. We work with the city to place special populations w/restrictions on where they can live.

#2 Clients are encouraged to take the "Rent Smart" course online so they are aware of their rights & responsibilities as a tenant. Racine Cnty Here to Help program & the CoC Housing Navigator work directly w/landlords during initial housing placement. The City of Racine held tenant-landlord listening sessions. Providers hold tenant sessions to help clients understand their Rights & Responsibilities as tenants. Mediation also helps tenants & landlords work out issues between them & helps tenants communicate better with other tenants. This has helped prevent evictions & reduced the return to homelessness or shelter. Racine City designed its RENTS initiative to prevent retaliation for reporting code violations. Case managers begin to work with clients to identify other housing options and move on strategies when they enter permanent housing.

3. System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroups.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC’s Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	

2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. On a monthly basis, HMIS data reports are reviewed by either a CoC committee or individual agencies to identify returns to homelessness.
2. Intensive case management, request transfer to other more appropriate programs, if necessary, identification of individuals and families for section 8 vouchers and other subsidized programs, moving on strategies that include supportive services for those who may be at risk. Additionally, joint case mngt with providers of income support services to foster housing stability & increase connections to mainstream resources.
3. System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroup.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- #1. Several large local employers and employment staffing agencies have held job fairs that included direct contact with homeless agencies and transportation to the event. The Center for Veterans Issues holds a Stand Down for homeless veterans annually, which includes private employers & personal invitations to veterans at various homeless providers. CoC homeless organizations advertise these events and personally refer clients to them. The CoC has relationships with Racine County Workforce Development, First Choice Apprenticeship, & Gateway Technical College for training, including internships and apprenticeships. Various TANF and FSET programs are available to homeless individuals for on-the-job training, for either paid & unpaid work experience.
- #2. All persons are required to be assessed for referral to an employment enhancement agency for job readiness, apprenticeships, and other employment services. Persons who are disabled or receiving SSI/SSDI are referred to a rehabilitative entity such as the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation, or a similar private nonprofit, to determine the feasibility of employment training. Racine County Workforce Development holds employment fairs and assists persons in homeless shelters to attend. Racine County Workforce Development provides outreach to clients of other CoC organizations to assess job readiness, make connections for apprenticeships and similar training, job placement, GED and HSED training, TANF, and other employment services. The FSET agency for Racine County provides similar outreach. Before COVID, weekly outreach was done at the largest shelter. Currently, outreach is done via various methods including texting and virtual platforms.
3. Racine Vocational Ministry

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All agencies utilize a standard Mainstream Benefits checklist form. This form assures that individuals are referred to, and assisted with applications, to access mainstream benefits including non-employment cash income. Legal Action of Wisconsin (LAW) employs an attorney for SOAR (SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery). LAW also assists individuals denied or terminated from TANF and FSET. Increasing non-employment cash income involves identifying whether or not a person is receiving or entitled to receive a non-employment cash income source, and providing access to it. Our strategy for accessing non-employment case sources requires case managers to understand the resources available to clients, assist clients with applications, and have an attorney available to represent clients when benefits are denied or terminated. On-going information and training opportunities are made available to CoC staff, as well as a streamlined referral process to LAW.

2. Legal Action of Wisconsin - Racine

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	
	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		